How L1 acceptance affects the social construction of the language classroom

DR MICHAEL RABBIDGE

Basis of study

- Conducted in EFL context
- Korean elementary school English teachers
- Working with L1 exclusion policy
- Interviews & Observations
- •Framework applicable to different contexts?

Teacher theories on L1 use (Macaro, 2009)

Virtual position theory:

Exclude L1 to mirror perceived monolingual reality of target culture

Maximal position theory:

- L1 exclusion is not possible, so flexible with L1 use
- Often have sense of guilt about L1 use

Optimal position theory:

- •L1 enhances TL learning
- Minority of teachers

Excluding the L1

- Languages are discrete from each other
- Need to limit interference
- Exposure improves acquisition
- Native speaker ideal
- Reflects perceived monolingual reality

Including the L1

- Post-structuralist view of language
- •L1 is cognitive and social practice
- Bilingual/multilingual ideals
- Reflects actual reality of world

Stated development of

beliefs

Virtual position

Maximal position

Optimal/maximal position

Optimal position

How are beliefs about L1 use involved in the social construction of the language classroom?

Pedagogic discourse

Recontextualisation

Pedagogic discourse

"a principle for appropriating other discourses and bringing them into a special relation with each other for the purposes of their selective transmission and acquisition" (Bernstein, 1990, p. 183)

During recontextualization

Example conversations about what you did on the weekend

Recontextualize

Creating role plays, listening activities, mock conversations etc.

original social and power relations

Who decides what these are?

new, virtual social and power relations of the classroom

Recontextualization

Allows the 'ideologies, the beliefs, values and dominant practices of the teacher' (Chappell, 2014, p. 34) to replace the original social and power relations, particularly those about teacher roles and student roles and methods for empowering teachers or students.

Beliefs that restrict L1

Tightly controlled exchanges

Less voice for students

Less co-construction of learning environment

Elements of control

IRF routines,
Grammatical mood
choices, Control
over L1 use

Sequencing, pacing, selection and social base

Positions of Alienation, Detachment and Estrangement

Result from disadvantaging educational policies which act through teachers via educational settings from the higher levels of society

Positions of Alienation, Detachment and Estrangement

- Alienation occurs when students do not understand what is happening
- •Detachment refers to learners who are able to understand the teacher, but are averse to the regulative nature of teacher actions
- •Estrangement refer to learners who don't understand the teacher, but who nonetheless have no issue with the regulative nature of teacher actions

Beliefs that allow for L1

Less tightly controlled exchanges

More voice for students

More co-construction of learning environment

Translanguaging position

- Embraces full linguistic repertoires
- •Students with less TL knowledge may avoid the positions of alienation and estrangement
- Breaks from traditional teacher-student hierarchy students may avoid position of estrangement

Translanguaging

The multiple discursive practices that, emerging or established, bi or multilinguals engage in to understand their multilingual realities (García and Li, 2014)

- •Languages within individuals are a single repertoire, not discrete entities
- Provides a social space for emerging bilinguals to establish positive identities
- •Embraces both creativity and criticality (of existing language use and associated policies especially)

In this study

At times, teachers exerted too much control in order to increase exposure because of L1 exclusion policy

L1 use based on personal experiences/ feelings of guilt sometimes

Need to be made aware of pedagogical approaches to L1 use such translanguaging to avoid moving students unintentionally into Alienation, Detachment and Estrangement positions

A Translanguaging Pedagogy

- Includes pedagogical and spontaneous translanguaging
- Teacher-directed and student-directed
- Develops weaker languages in relation to stronger languages
- Promotes a better understanding of class content
- Creates stronger home-school connections
- Allows for better participation between weaker and stronger learners in mixed ability classes
- •Can be accomplished by monolingual teachers as well!!!

References

- Bernstein, B. (1990). The structuring of pedagogic discourse: Class codes and control (Vol. IV). London, England: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Chappell, P. (2014). Group work in the English language curriculum: Sociocultural and ecological
 perspectives on second language classroom learning. Basingstoke, England: Palgrave Macmillan.
- García, O., & Li, W. (2014). Translanguaging: language, bilingualism and education: Basingstoke:
 Palgrave Macmillan, 2014.
- Macaro, E. (2009). Teacher use of codeswitching in the second language classroom: Exploring 'optimal' use. In M. Turnbull & J. D.-O. Cain (Eds.), First language use in second and foreign language learning (pp. 35–49). Bristol, England: Multilingual Matters.

